JUNE

2003 09 • 06 • 03

REC'D 19 JUN 2003

WIPO

PCT

PA 1016184

A NI O DE COLOR DE COMPANIO DE LA COMPANIO DEL COMPANIO DE LA COMPANIO DEL COMPANIO DE LA COMPANIO DEL COMPANIO DE LA COMPANIO DE LA COMPANIO DE LA COMPANIO DE LA COMPANIO DEL COMPANIO DE LA COMPANIO DEL COMPANIO DEL COMPANIO DEL COMPANIO DE LA COMPANIO DEL COMPANIO DE LA COMPANIO DEL COMP

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE; PRESENTS; SHALL, COME;

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

May 29, 2003

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT ANNEXED HERETO IS A TRUE COPY FROM THE RECORDS OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE OF THOSE PAPERS OF THE BELOW IDENTIFIED PATENT APPLICATION THAT MET THE REQUIREMENTS TO BE GRANTED A FILING DATE UNDER 35 USC 111.

APPLICATION NUMBER: 60/374,512

FILING DATE: April 23, 2002

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

PRIORITY DOCUMENT

SUBMITTED OR TRANSMITTED IN COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 17.1(a) OR (b)

By Authority of the

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

N. WILLIAMS

Certifying Officer

v	_	=
	7	
•	_	⊨
	2	들
		=
	3	E
	-	≘
ŝ		존
-	70.5	늘
	S	

W.

PROVISIONAL APPLICATION FOR PATENT COVER SHEET

This is a request for filing a PROVISIONAL APPLICATION FOR PATENT under 37 CFR 1.53(c) Express Mail Label No.

			INVENTO	R(S)			-
Given Name (first and n	niddle [if any])	Fami	ly Name or S		(City and a	Residence	1
LORRIE		a sa sa sa		Delta, Ca	ither State or Foreign Co	unt	
JIM							
ROD		McELRO'	-		ivorm va	ncouver, Cana	da
Additional inventors	are being named			umbered sheets	attached hereto)	
	T	TLE OF THE II		00 characters			_
REMEDIATION OF S OTHER ORGANOHA	TOGE NO B	AMINATED Y SIMULTA	WITH PO	OLYCHLOF PCB EXTRA	RINATED BIF ACTION ANI	PHENYLS (PCBs) DESTRUCTION	A
Direct all correspondence to	0:	CORRESP	ONDENCE	DDRESS			_
Customer Number				 ⊳	Place	Customer Number	٦
OR	Type Custon	ner Number hei	e	•	Bar C	Code Label here	ı
Firm or Individual Name	Vermette	& Co.					_
Address	Box 40, Gr	anville Squa	re				
Address	Suite 230,	200 Granvill	e Street				
City	Vancouver		State	British Colum	mbia ZIP	V6C 4C4	
Country	Canada		Telephone	(604) 331-038		<u>V6C 1S4</u> (604) 331-0382	
	ENCL	OSED APPLICA		S (check all th	at apply)	(00+) 001-0302	
Specification Number	er of Pages	0		CD(s), Nur			
Drawing(s) Number of Application Data Shee		76		Other (spe	cify)	·	٦
METHOD OF PAYMENT O			/ISIONAL AR	DI ICATION CO	DOSTELET		==
Applicant claims sm	all entity status. S	ee 37 CFR 1.2	7.	I LICATION FO	RPAIENI	FILING FEE	
A check or money of	order is enclosed to	o cover the filing	fees			AMOUNT (\$)	
The Commissioner	is hereby authorize	ed to chame fill			X	0	
fees or credit any over Payment by credit c	erpayment to Depart. Form PTO-20	Dosit Account N 138 is attached	umber:			\$1600	
The invention was made by							_
United States Government No.	Q		-cronnicut 0	minei a coulta	ici with an agenc	ry of the	
	Comment						
Yes, the name of the U.S.	overnment agency	and the Governm	ent contract nu	mber are:			
spectfully submitted	/ _A	9		Date			
GNATURE	inelle	2	_	L	<u>-</u> -		
PED or PRINTED NAME	lifford W. Ve	rmette	_		SISTRATION NO	30,018	3
LEPHONE (604) 331-0	3281				ket Number:	1635-25	_

USE ONLY FOR FILING A PROVISIONAL APPLICATION FOR PATENT

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.51. The Information is used by the public to file (and by the PTO to process) a provisional application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 8 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the complete provisional application to the PTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20231. DO NOT SEND FIES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Box Provisional Application, Assistant

PTO/SB/17 (10-01)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
OS collection of Information unless it disclays a unit OND Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of Inform

FEE TRANSMITTAL for FY 2002

Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT

(\$)	16	0.	00

	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O		
Complete if Known			
Application Number			
Filing Date			
First Named Inventor	Lorrie Hunt		
Examiner Name			
Group Art Unit			
Attorney Docket No.	2313-100		

METHOD OF PAYMENT	FEE CALCULATION (continued)				
The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge indicated fees and credit any overpayments to: Deposit Account Number	3. ADDITIONAL FEES Large Small Entity Entity				
Deposit	Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Description	Fee Paid			
Account Name	105 130 205 65 Surcharge - late filing fee or oath				
Charge Any Additional Fee Required Under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17	127 50 227 25 Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet				
Applicant dalms small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27	139 130 139 130 Non-English specification				
2. Payment Enclosed:	147 2,520 147 2,520 For filing a request for ex parte reexamination				
Check Credit card Money Order Other	112 920* 112 920* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action 113 1,840* 113 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR after				
FEE CALCULATION	113 1,840° 113 1,840° Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action				
1. BASIC FILING FEE	115 110 215 55 Extension for reply within first month				
Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Fee Fee Description	116 400 216 200 Extension for reply within second month				
Code (\$) Code (\$) Fee Paid	117 920 217 460 Extension for reply within third month				
101 740 201 370 Utility filing fee	118 1,440 218 720 Extension for reply within fourth month				
106 330 206 165 Design filing fee	128 1,960 228 980 Extension for reply within fifth month				
107 510 207 255 Plant filling fee	119 320 219 160 Notice of Appeal				
108 740 208 370 Reissue filing fee	120 320 220 160 Filing a brief in support of an appeal				
114 160 214 80 Provisional filing fee 160.00	121 280 221 140 Request for oral hearing				
SUBTOTAL (1) (\$) 160.00	138 1,510 138 1,510 Petition to Institute a public use proceeding				
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES	140 110 240 55 Petition to revive - unavoidable				
_ Fee from	141 1,280 241 640 Petition to revive - unintentional				
Total Claims 3 -20** = X	142 1,280 242 640 Utility Issue fee (or reissue)				
Independent Claims X = X	143 460 243 230 Design issue fee				
Multiple Dependent	144 620 244 310 Plant issue fee				
	122 130 122 130 Petitions to the Commissioner				
Large Entity Small Entity	123 50 123 50 Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)				
Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Code (\$) Code (\$)	126 180 126 180 Submission of Information Disclosure Strnt				
103 18 203 9 Claims in excess of 20	581 40 581 40 Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)				
102 84 202 42 Independent claims in excess of 3	146 740 246 370 Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR § 1.129(a))				
104 280 204 140 Multiple dependent claim, if not paid 109 84 209 42 ** Reissue independent claims over original patent	149 740 249 370 For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))				
110 18 210 9 ** Reissue dalms in excess of 20	179 740 279 370 Request for Continued Examination (RCE)				
and over original patent	169 800 169 800 Request for expedited examination				
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$)	of a design application Other fee (specify)				
**or number previously paid, if greater, For Reissues, see above	*Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3)				

SUBMITTED BY Complete (if applicable) Name (Print/Type) Registration No. Clifford W. Vermette Telephone 30,018 (604) 331-0381 Signature 03/14/2002

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

5 FIELD

The present invention relates to a method of simultaneously extracting and destroying polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in contaminated solids, such as soil.

. 10 BACKGROUND

٠.

可口当了年的产品。口午时到了 20

N

25

The existence of large numbers of sites with soil extensively contaminated by organohalogens, especially PCBs, requires economical cost effective treatment methods. Although incineration has been shown capable of destroying PCBs this form of PCB treatment has been implicated in dioxin and chlorinated dibenzofuran emissions and has been banned for use in certain countries such as Australia and Japan (References 1,2). Both furan and dioxins are considered to be highly toxic (carcinogenic). As such, there is a need for cost effective PCB decontamination methods, including soil decontamination, which do not involve PCB incineration.

Getman et al in U.S. patent 6,049,021 describe remediation of soil contaminated with PCBs. This patent describes the destruction of PCBs in soil using a variety of methods combining the following basic elements:

1. PCB extraction of soil by liquid ammonia.

- 2. Dissolution of sodium metal into PCB-contaminated liquid ammonia.
- 3. Destruction of PCB in liquid ammonia by dissolved sodiummetal.

Although this technique clearly shows high destruction of PCB in soil it suffers from the following problems:

- Very long reaction times e.g. >30 minutes (see Example 2).
 - Need to refrigerate ammonia with soil with stirring before addition of sodium metal (see Example 4).
 - Need to operate with hazardous pressurized anhydrous ammonia gas in a stirred vessel (see Example 2).
 - Extremely high ammonia dose on soil e.g. 9 litres ammonia per kilogram of soil (see Example 2).
 - Generation of ammonia containing residual wastes "filtrates" (see Example 2).
 - Awkward temperature cycling between 0 °C and 20 to 40 °C (see Example 4) or -78°C (see Example 3).
 - Awkward, time consuming, multiple soil extractions with ammonia before addition of sodium metal (see Example 4).

10 PCT application WO 02/22252 A1 to Collings describes ultrasonic destruction of PCBs in a one-step process. However processing times are excessive (e.g. 60 minutes) and PCB 原子以アドニよの" 。 の Find 20 20 20 destruction efficiency is low (e.g. 75%) (page 10, lines 20-25).

Eco Logic at web page www.eco-logic-intl.com in a brochure dated April 2001 and entitled "The TORBED/GPCR combination for Soil, Sediment and Sludge Treatment" describe a multi-step process for removal and destruction of PCBs in solids such as soils as follows:

- 1. High temperature (e.g. 600°C) thermal desorption of PCBs from soils by volatilization.
- 2. High temperature (e.g. 875°C) gas phase reduction of volatilized PCB exhaust gas from step 1 with a reducing gas such as hydrogen.

25

30

3. Scrubbing of exhaust gas from step 2 to recover toxic and/or corrosive gases such as hydrogen chloride produced from reduction of PCBs.

- 4. Compression and/or storage of scrubbed exhaust gas from step 3.
- 5. Incineration and/or recycling of scrubbed exhaust gas from step 4 to steps 1 and/or 2 respectively.

Although the Eco Logic method clearly destroys PCBs in soils it suffers from the following problems:

- Generation of toxic and/or corrosive exhaust gas (e.g. hydrogen chloride) and spent scrubber solutions.
- Use of potentially explosive hydrogen gas at high temperature.
- Five or more processing steps.
- Two energy intensive, high temperature processing steps.

There is a need for a low temperature process which can quickly extract and destroy PCBs in one step thereby reducing the number of processing steps, the size of equipment and their cost.

25 SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The current invention relates to the simultaneous extraction and destruction of PCBs in contaminated solids, such The current invention quickly extracts and destroys as soil. PCBs by combining the contaminated soil with an alkali metal,

50374512 "O4030 20

10

5

In contrast to the prior art, the use of this method allows remediation of solids, such as soil, in one reaction chamber using sonication, and at relatively low temperatures, which avoids the highly toxic emissions caused by high temperature incineration.

One advantage of the current invention is the use of kerosene as an extractant resulting in a significant reduction in the amount of extractant required.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The following remediation method can be applied to solids, such as soil, contaminated by organohalogens, especially PCBS:

1. Air-dry and sieve PCB contaminated soil.

25

2. Combine sieved, air-dried PCB contaminated soil from step 1 with an alkali metal (e.g. sodium) in an essentially inert alkali-metal liquid carrier (e.g. kerosene or other hydrocarbon) and place inside a sealed sonication chamber.

30

5

10

- 3. Heat the sonication chamber from step 2 to effect melting of the alkali-metal (e.g. sodium) and apply sonication to effect simultaneous extraction of PCB from the contaminated solids into the liquid carrier and destruction of extracted PCBs by molten alkali metal (e.g. sodium).
- 4. Discharge the sonication chamber reaction product from step 3 and use any one of the non-limiting soil/liquid carrier separation, alkali hydroxide (e.g. sodium hydroxide) byproduct neutralization methods described below.
- 5. Recycle the treated soil.

Referring to step 4, a variety of non limiting-techniques for stripping the inert alkali-metal carrier (e.g. hydrocarbon such as kerosene) from the soil together with soil recycling include the following:

- For coarse-grained soils, addition of water (the same or higher volume than the hydrocarbon oil) followed by vigorous mixing in the sonic generator chamber (or in a separate vessel) results in water wetting of the solid phase. When the agitation is stopped, the oil floats on the water phase and can be recovered by decantation. Water addition/mixing followed by settling and oil decantation will be the primary stage of oil stripping in most cases.
- More commonly the soil in the water phase after primary oil decantation will retain a significant amount of oil and

- When stringent criteria (<20 ppm) apply to the oil content of
 recycle soil, oil sorption by coarse granular activated carbon can be used to achieve very low residual oil levels.
 - The oil recovered by decantation will normally contain a portion of the fine-grained solids from the treated soil. Depending on the nature of the soil being treated, methods to recover solids from the recycle oil phase include secondary water scrubbing with a surfactant, centrifugation or filtration.

 Removal of water from treated soil can be achieved by simple drainage for coarse-grained soil. For finer material vacuum or pressure filtration will provide a handleable material for recycle.

The methods described above are either standard practice or slight variants of standard mineral processing operations (references 3,4).

Modern practice in solvent extraction also provides

25 examples of technology for removal of fine solids ("crud" in industry jargon) from the organic phase which typically contains >50% hydrocarbon oil as diluent as well as the oil soluble extraction reagent.

During the water contact /oil separation operations, sodium hydroxide (NaOH) is formed from any residual sodium metal and by hydrolysis of the sodium-aromatic hydrocarbon bond formed during the dechlorination reaction. Sodium hydroxide is known in the 5 mineral processing industry as a (water) wetting agent for many minerals, and may assist in the oil removal operation. neutralization of sodium hydroxide will be required prior to discharge of process water. This can be achieved by a variety of non-limiting methods including:

- Injection of carbon dioxide gas into the aqueous phase to 10 convert sodium hydroxide into sodium carbonate or bicarbonate
 - Addition, under pH control, of sulfuric acid to form neutral sodium sulphate
 - The following example illustrates the effectiveness of the invention:

Example 1

25

- PCB-contaminated soil was air dried and sieved to -6 mesh. 1.
- BOSTING BRESDE 20 Two kilograms of soil from step 1 was combined with 0.6 litres of commercially available kerosene (i.e. a 0.3 litre kerosene to 1 kg soil weight ratio) and 45 grams of solid sodium metal in a 3.2 litre grinding media-free sonication chamber attached to a 20 kilowatt sonic driver.
 - The sealed sonication chamber was mounted on the 20 3. kilowatt sonic driver and was heated to 115°C using heat from a propane torch. The sonic chamber heating jacket was filled half-

way with ethylene glycol antifreeze to aid in heat transfer to the sonic chamber ingredients. Once the desired temperature was reached (e.g. alkali metal melting point or higher), sonication was commenced.

5

As an example, the sonication temperature was 114°C at the end of a 6 minute run. The following table illustrates PCB destruction as a function of time using the above approach on a soil with an initial PCB content of 424 ppm (micrograms/gram):

10

Sonication Time in	PCB ppm in	% PCB
minutes	soil	destruction
0	424	0
1	12.7	97.0
2	8.4	98.0
5	2.2	99.5
6	2.5	99.4

A 99.5% destruction of 424 ppm PCB contaminated solid with a 45 g sodium to 2000 g soil dose ratio was achieved in 5 minutes.

15

Using kerosene as an extractant required approximately 0.3 liters of kerosene to one kilogram of soil. This ratio represents a 30 fold reduction in the volume of extractant required as compared to the ammonia extractant of the Getman patent.

20

₁₀

- Costner, Pat et al. 1998. "Technical Criteria for the Destruction of Stockpiled Persistent Organic Pollutants". Third Meeting of the Intersessional Group Intergovernmental Forum on Chemical Safety. Yokohama, Japan. December 1-4, 1998. Available at www.eco-logic-intl.com
- 2. "Survey of Currently Available Non-Incineration PCB
 Destruction Technologies". 2000. United Nations Environment
 Programme. August. Available at www.eco-logic-intl.com
- 3. Taggart, Arthur F. 1945. Handbook of Mineral Dressing. John Wiley and Sons Inc. (New York)
- 4. Gaudin, A.M. 1957. Flotation. McGraw-Hill Book Co. Inc. (New York)